

Appl. No. 10/670,426
Amendment dated January 31, 2007
Response to Office Action (date mailed Oct. 31, 2006)

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 31 2007

Remarks/Arguments:

Claims 1-14 and 21-23 were allowed. Claims 15-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 for antecedent problems. Responsive thereto, claims 15 and 16 are amended to depend from claim 7 instead of claim 1. Claim 7 provides the necessary support for the rejection in items 3. and 4. of the Official Action. Claim 15 is further amended to replace "digital hybrid matrix" with -DHM—since "DHM" is defined in claim 15 as an acronym for "digital hybrid matrix". Claims 17-20 were amended to replace "the" with "a" as necessary to correct antecedent issues. The applicant believes that the amendments to claim 17 solve deficiencies in claims 18-20.

Additionally, the applicant notes that claim 15, line 3 was amended to insert a space and claim 17, line 6 was amended to replace a period with a semicolon.

Please direct any questions or comments to the undersigned attorney regarding the Notice of Allowance in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 31, 2007

By: /James A. Harrison/Reg. No. 40,401
James A. Harrison
Attorney for Applicant

Garlick Harrison & Markison
P.O. Box 160727
Austin, Texas 78716
(214) 902-8100/office
(214) 902-8101/facsimile